Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, University of South Carolina, Columbia, South Carolina 29208

The Crystal and Molecular Structure of $Bis(N,N-dimethyl-\beta-mercaptoethylamine)nickel(II)$

BY R. L. GIRLING¹ AND E. L. AMMA²

Received May 22, 1967

The crystal structure of bis(N,N-dimethyl- β -mercaptoethylamine)nickel(II), Ni[SC₂H₂N(CH₃)₂]₂, has been determined from 604 intensities manually collected by counter means at room temperature. Unit cell constants are: a = 8.89, b = 11.94, c = 5.56, all ± 0.01 A, and $\beta = 94.4 \pm 0.1^{\circ}$. The space group is P2₁/n with two molecules per cell. The observed and calculated densities were found to be 1.50 and 1.51 g cm⁻³, respectively. The structure was refined by least squares to a conventional R of 0.072. The structure is made up of discrete molecular units of *trans* configuration with a planar NiN₂S₂ entity, separated by ordinary van der Waals distances. The Ni–N and Ni–S distances were found to be 1.974 \pm 0.010 and 2.198 \pm 0.003 A, respectively, with an N–Ni–S angle of 87.9 \pm 0.3°. These distances and angles are explained in terms of nonbonded C–S interactions of the methyl groups on nitrogen. Stereochemically, the methyl groups on the nitrogen are approximately oriented such that one is equatorial and one polar. The polar methyl group effectively blocks the axial Ni(II) position from further chemical attack.

Introduction

Jensen³ reported the synthesis of a green 1:2 complex between Ni(II) and β -mercaptoethylamine to which he assigned the *cis* structure based only on its color and insolubility in nonpolar solvents. More recently, Busch and Jicha⁴⁻⁶ have made a detailed study of β -mercaptoethylamine complexes and found that the complex reported by Jensen¹ is diamagnetic and consequently is "square-planar," but the low solubility of this green complex precluded any dipole moment measurements for the elucidation of the stereochemistry. However, they found that this 1:2 complex would react further with NiCl₂ to form a trimer whose structure has been shown to be I by X-ray diffraction.⁷



When Ni(II) complexes are formed from various Nsubstituted β -mercaptoethylamines, they can be separated into three groups depending upon their color and solubility properties.⁸ These are: (1) brown powders, only slightly soluble in halocarbons or dimethyl sulfoxide, *e.g.*, bis(N,N-diethyl- β -mercaptoethylamine)nickel-(II); (2) green solids which are soluble in halocarbons, *e.g.*, bis(N-propyl- β -mercaptoethylamine)nickel-(II); (3) maroon crystalline solids appreciably soluble

(1) NASA Predoctoral Fellow.

- (3) K. A. Jensen, Z. Anorg. Allgem. Chem., 229, 265 (1936).
- (4) D. C. Jicha and D. H. Busch, Inorg. Chem., 1, 872 (1962).
- (5) D. C. Jicha and D. H. Busch, *ibid.*, 1, 878 (1962).
- (6) D. H. Busch and D. C. Jicha, *ibid.*, 1, 884 (1962).
- (7) L. Dahl, private communication.

in both polar and nonpolar solvents, e.g., bis(N,N-dimethyl- β -mercaptoethylamine)nickel(II). Magnetic moments, molecular weights, and infrared and visible spectra all indicate that these compounds are "squareplanar" nickel(II) complexes. However, only the maroon $bis(N-isopropyl-\beta-mercaptoethylamine)$ and $bis(N,N-dimethyl-\beta-mercaptoethylamine)$ complexes are good crystalline complexes suitable for X-ray diffraction studies. The dipole moment measurements of Root and Busch on these two compounds were limited by solubility considerations; nevertheless, they were able to place the dipole moment at a maximum of 3 D. Since the cis compound should have a moment of 8–9 D., these data indicate a trans configuration. In order to ascertain the configuration of these compounds we decided to investigate the crystal structure of bis(N,Ndimethyl- β -mercaptoethylamine)nickel(II). Further, the effect of the N-methyl groups on the Ni-N bond length and their stereochemical interrelationship is of considerable interest in these types of sulfur chelates.

Experimental Section

Single crystals of bis(N,N-dimethyl- β -mercaptoethylamine)nickel(II), Ni[SC₂H₄N(CH₃)₂]₂, suitable for single-crystal X-ray diffraction were generously supplied to us by Professor D. H. Busch of The Ohio State University. Preliminary Weissenberg and precession photographs ($hk0\cdots hk5$, h0l, 0kl) gave the systematic absences: for h0l, h + l = 2n + 1; for 0k0, k = 2n + 1yielding P2₁/n as the space group. From calibrated precession photographs at room temperature the cell constants were found to be: a = 8.89, b = 11.94, c = 5.56, all ± 0.01 A, and $\beta =$ 94.4 $\pm 0.10^{\circ}$. The density measured by flotation [1.50 (3)] in a carbon tetrachloride-bromoform mixture is in good agreement with the calculated value of 1.51 g cm⁻³, assuming two molecules per cell.

A single needle crystal, $0.08 \times 0.12 \times 0.84$ mm, mounted about the long direction was used to collect 604 independent pieces of *hkl* intensity data with Zr-filtered Mo K α radiation on a Picker diffractometer equipped with a GE single-crystal orienter by a scan technique. The takeoff angle was set at 3.5° and the receiving aperature was 6×8 mm high. The counting rate never exceeded 5000 counts/sec. The mosaic spread of a reflection was satisfyingly low. Backgrounds were measured by stationary counting for 40 sec at $\pm 1.67^{\circ} 2\theta$ of the peak maxima. The peak

⁽²⁾ Address all correspondence to this author.

⁽⁸⁾ C. A. Root and D. H. Busch, private communication, submitted for publication.

TABLE I OBSERVED AND CALCULATED STRUCTURE FACTORS^a

$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{i} + \mathbf{i} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{i} & 1 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ $	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		$ \begin{array}{c} 0 & 130 & 1,4 \\ 1 & 21 & 81 \\ -1 & 84 & 72 \\ 2 & 107 & 197 \\ -2 & 297 & 247 \\ -3 & 67 & 572 \\ -4 & 49 & 47 \\ -5 & 76 & 400 \\ -5 & 76 & 400 \\ -10 & 49 & 44 \\ -10 & 49 & 44 \\ -10 & 49 & 44 \\ -10 & 49 & 44 \\ -10 & 49 & 44 \\ -10 & 49 & 44 \\ -11 & 11 & 11 \\ -11 & 11 \\ -11 & 11 \\ -11 & 11 \\ -11 & 11 \\ -11 & 11 \\ -11 & 11 \\ -11 & 11 \\ -11 \\ -11 & 11 \\ -11 \\ -11 & 11 \\ -11 \\ -11 & 11 \\ -11 \\ -11 & 11 \\ -11 \\ -11 & 11 \\ -11 \\ -11 & 11 \\ -11 $	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 04 97 1 143 140 3 127 116 4 52 561 5 44 64 -5 04 73 6 42 44 -6 47 43 -5 04 74 -6 47 43 1 7 78 112 -7 77 9 12 -7 77 9 12 -7 78 112 -7 78 11	$\begin{array}{c} 1 & 2 + 3 & 2 + 4 \\ 1 & 2 + 5 & 2 + 1 \\ 1 & 1 - 5 & 2 + 1 \\ 1 & 5 & 3 & - 1 \\ - 1 & 1 - 5 & 1 - 2 \\ - 3 & 1 - 5 & 2 & - 2 \\ - 2 & - 2 & 2 & - 2 \\ - 2 & - 2 & 2 & - 2 \\ - 2 & - 2 & 2 & - 2 \\ - 2 & - 2 & - 2 & - 2 \\ - 2 & - 2 & - 2 & - 2 \\ - 4 & 1 - 3 & - 1 \\ - 4 & 1 - 3 & - 2 \\ - 4 & 2 - 4 & 2 - 4 \\ - 4 & 2 - 4 & 2 - 4 \\ - 4 & 2 - 4 & 2 - 4 \\ - 4 & 2 - 4 & 2 - 4 \\ - 4 & 2 - 4 & 2 - 4 \\ - 4 & 2 - 4 & 2 - 4 \\ - 4 & 2 - 4 & 2 - 4 \\ - 4 & 2 - 4 & 2 - 4 \\ - 4 & 2 - 4 & 2 - 4 \\ - 4 & 2 - 4 & 2 - 4 \\ - 4 & 1 - 4 & - 4 \\ - 4 & 1 - 4 & - 4 \\ - 7 & 5 & 0 & - 1 \\ - 4 & 1 - 4 & - 4 \\ - 7 & 5 & 0 & - 1 \\ - 4 & 1 - 4 & - 1 \\ - 7 & 5 & 0 & - 1 \\ - 4 & 1 - 4 & - 1 \\ - 7 & 5 & 0 & - 1 \\ - 4 & 1 - 4 & - 1 \\ - 4 & 1 - 2 & 5 \\ - 7 & 5 & 0 & - 1 \\ - 4 & 1 - 4 & - 1 \\ - 4 & 1 - 4 & - 1 \\ - 5 & - 4 & - 4 \\ - 4 & 1 - 5 & - 2 \\ - 7 & 5 & - 7 \\ - 7 & 5 & - 7 \\ - 8 & 7 & 2 & - 2 \\ - 8 & R & 6 & - 4 \\ - 1 & 1 & 5 & 5 \\ - 7 & - 8 & - 2 & - 4 \\ - 9 & - 4 & - 4 \\ - 9 & - 5 & - 4 \\ - 8 & - 4 & - 4 \\ - 7 & 5 & - 7 \\ - 8 & - 2 & - 4 \\ - 8 & - 6 & - 4 \\ - 1 & 0 & - 5 \\ - 8 & - 2 & - 4 \\ - 7 & - 8 & - 2 & - 4 \\ - 8 & - 6 & - 4 \\ - 1 & 0 & - 5 & - 7 \\ - 8 & - 2 & - 4 \\ - 7 & - 8 & - 2 & - 4 \\ - 8 & - 6 & - 4 \\ - 1 & 0 & - 5 & - 7 \\ - 8 & - 2 & - 4 \\ - 8 & - 6 & - 4 \\ - 1 & 0 & - 5 & - 7 \\ - 8 & - 2 & - 4 \\ - 7 & - 8 & - 2 & - 4 \\ - 7 & - 8 & - 2 & - 4 \\ - 7 & - 8 & - 2 & - 4 \\ - 7 & - 8 & - 2 & - 2 \\ - 7 &$	2 149 92 3 124 114 -3 138 151 4 49 67 5 5 376 633 -6 356 637 7 112 115 6 356 637 7 112 117 9 35 44 1 5 5 37 -1 17 65 -1 4 15 -2 20 286 -1 17 125 -2 20 286 -2 201 250 -1 161 215 -4 57 59 -4 52 54 -5 64 133 -1 171 165 -2 70 20 -2 70 20 -4 714 150 -1 77 12 -4 12 114 -3 140 159 -4 112 1140 -4 73 99 -4 6130 129 -4 12 99 -4 6130 129 -4 12 99 -4 6130 129 -4 12 99 -4 6130 129 -4 6130 129 -4 6130 129 -4 610 129 -4 61	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	- 3 + 1 - 1 2 2 + 3 + 1 - 2 + - 1 - 2 + - 1 - 2 + - 1 - 2 + - 1 - 2 + - 1 - 2 + - 1 - 1 - 1 + 1 + - 2 - 2 + - 1 - 1 - 3 + - 0 + 2 - 2 + - 1 - 1 - 3 + - 0 + 2 - 2 + - 1 - 1 - 3 + - 0 + 2 - 2 + - 1 - 1 - 3 + - 0 + 2 - 2 + - 1 - 1 - 3 + - 0 + 2 - 2 + - 1 - 1 - 3 + - 0 + 2 - 2 + - 1 - 1 - 3 + - 0 + 2 - 2 + - 1 - 1 - 3 + - 0 + 2 - 2 + - 1 - 1 - 3 + - 0 + 2 - 2 + - 1 - 1 - 3 + - 0 + 2 - 2 + - 1 - 1 - 3 + - 0 + 2 - 2 + - 1 - 1 - 3 + - 0 + 2 - 2 + - 1 - 1 - 3 + - 0 + 2 - 2 + - 1 - 1 - 3 + - 0 + 2 - 2 + - 1 - 1 - 3 + - 0 + - 1 - 3 + - 0 + 2 - 2 + 1 - 1 - 3 + - 0 + - 0 + 2 - 2 + 1 3 + 0 +	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
2 111 121 4 65 58 5 104 115 6 52 65 7 216 195 5 63 55	8 41 43 • H 12 7 • 0 9d 35 1 70 65 2 51 47 3 51 58	10 47 42 -10 62 53 12 46 38 • H 3 1 • 0 339 350 1 304 287	3 133 143 -3 121 127 4 86 82 -4 78 75 5 87 84 -5 105 118	6 62 67 7 139 138 -7 111 107 8 49 42 11 50 59 • H 9 1 •	-3 40 44 5 71 79 -5 103 93 • H 13 1 • 0 106 105 0 110 105	-6 70 68 -7 77 79 8 97 102 -8 106 103 10 60 63 -10 47 42	1 204 197 -1 272 289 -2. 46 33 3 222 208 -3 79 102 4 96 90	5 36 37 6 117 124 -6 124 131 8 50 65 • H 9 2 • 0 52 56	-1 65 66 1 96 94 -3 43 48 • H 14 2 • 0 103 102 • H 0 3 •	-8 72 A2 8 64 71 10 55 67 • H 4 3 • 1 236 224 -1 253 239	1 49 45 -1 73 74 3 160 157 -3 8H 95 -5 68 45 7 104 103	• H 2 4 • 0 196 174 -1 53 40 1 99 87 -2 110 113 2 103 109		

^a First column is H followed by $|F_0|$ and $|F_e|$. $|F_e| = |10F(\text{calcd})|$. $F(\text{calcd})_{\text{absolute}} = F(\text{calcd})/\text{scale factor}$. Scale factor = 0.6216 \pm 0.002. Unobserved reflections not listed and were not included in the refinement.

was then scanned for 100 sec by the usual θ -2 θ method and the net integrated intensity was calculated, assuming a linear variation in background, from the function $I(net) = I(scan) - 1.25(B_1 +$ B_2), where B_1 and B_2 are the background counts. A standard reflection was measured every hour to ensure stability of operation.

The linear absorption coefficient (μ) for this crystal with Mo K α radiation is 13.2 cm⁻¹. No absorption corrections were made but it has been shown⁹ that atomic position parameters are not significantly affected by absorption corrections and our estimates of error of thermal parameters may be somewhat optimistic. The upper limit to the variation of intensity due to absorption might be estimated to be 20%.

Structure Determination

The space group $P2_1/n$ demands that with two molecules of $bis(N,N-dimethyl-\beta-mercaptoethylamine)$ nickel(II) per cell the Ni atoms must lie on centers of symmetry, and, hence, the configuration of this complex must be trans. We placed the Ni atoms at 0, 0, 0 and $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, and all of the other atoms into the general positions $\pm (x, y, z; \frac{1}{2} + x, \frac{1}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} + z)$. We could have proceeded to find the other atoms based on the Ni phases (all positive) by Fourier refinement, but we decided that it would be more efficient to glean as much information as possible from the Patterson function. From an unsharpened three-dimensional Patterson¹⁰ we located all but one of the carbon atoms, which was subsequently found from a three-dimensional Fourier based on phases calculated from the other atoms.

The structure was refined by complete-matrix least squares¹¹ including anisotropic temperature factors by minimizing the function $\Sigma w (F_o - F_c)^2$ with equal weights.12 Scattering factors for Ni2+, C, N, and S were taken from the compilation of Ibers18 and a real correction for anomalous dispersion for Ni(II) was taken from the data of Cromer.¹⁴ The imaginary correction term was small and was neglected. The final coordinate shifts of the atoms were less than $3 \times 10^{-4} (\langle 1/_{10}\sigma \rangle)$ of a cell edge. The final R¹⁵ weighted R, and standard error were found to be 0.072, 0.086, and 1.29, respectively. A final difference map was qualitatively featureless and showed no indication of the hydrogen atoms. Final observed and calculated structure factors are listed in Table I. (Unobserved reflections were not included in the refinement and are not included in this table.) Table II contains the final coordinates, temperature factors, and the respective esd's. Distances, angles, thermal parameters, and estimated errors were calculated and are arranged in Tables III and IV.16

Results and Discussions

The crystal structure is made up of molecules of trans $bis(N,N-dimethyl-\beta-mercaptoethylamine)nickel(II)$ separated by ordinary van der Waals distances (Table III).

A perspective view of the trans-bis(N,N-dimethyl- β mercaptoethylamine)nickel(II) molecule is shown in Figure 1 with the most important distances. The Ni atom and its four nearest neighbors are planar by space group requirements but the angles with the metal as vertex are significantly different from the

⁽⁹⁾ R. C. Srivastava and E. C. Lingafelter, Acta Cryst., 20, 918 (1966). (10) Patterson and electron density calculations were made with a local variation of a program due to Baenziger on the IBM 7040.

⁽¹¹⁾ Least-squares calculations were performed with a local version of the ORFLS program of W. Busing, K. O. Martin, and H. Levy on the IBM 7040.

⁽¹²⁾ Essentially the same results were obtained when the data were weighted on counting statistics and a 4% intensity factor: S. W. Peterson and H. A. Levy, Acta Cryst., 10, 70 (1957).

^{(13) &}quot;International Tables for X-Ray Crystallography," Vol. III, The Kynoch Press, Birmingham, England, 1962, pp 202, 204.

⁽¹⁴⁾ D. T. Cromer, Acta Cryst., 18, 17 (1965). (15) $R = \Sigma ||F_0| - |F_0||/\Sigma |F_0|$; weighted $R = \Sigma w (F_0 - F_0)^2 / \Sigma w F_0^2$; standard error = $[\Sigma w (F_0 - F_0)^2 / (NO - NV)]^{1/2}$, where NO = 604 and NV = 61.

⁽¹⁶⁾ These calculations performed with a local modification of ORFFE due to W. Busing, K. O. Martin, and H. Levy on the IBM 7040.

			Atom Pos	itional	and Tempe	rature P	arameters an	a Error	s; $\sigma' = 10^4 \sigma$			
Atom		x		$\sigma'(x)$		У		σ'(y)	z		$\sigma'(z)$
Ni		0.0000		^a		0.0	000	^a		0.000	00	• • • ^a
S		0.2262		4		0.0	695	3		-0.016	33	8
Ν		0.0925		11		-0.12	259	9		0.186	30	20
C_1	_	0.0015		18		-0.13	803	13		0.361	17	30
C_2		0.1311		20		-0.2	111	12		0.007	74	29
C3		0.2667		16		0.4	123	13		0.168	57	30
C4		0.1637		17		0.4	712	13		0.325	58	37
	Thermal Parameters and Standard Deviations; Anisotropic Temperature Factors of the Form $\exp - (\beta_{11}h^2 + \beta_{22}k^2 + \beta_{33}l^2 + 2\beta_{12}hk + 2\beta_{13}hl + 2\beta_{23}kl)$											
Atom	β_{11}	σ'	β_{22}	σ	\$ 88	σ'	β_{12}	σ	β_{13}	σ'	\$ 23	σ'
Ni	0.0090	2	0.0042	1	0.0226	9	0.0001	2	-0,0019	4	0.0002	4
S	0.0103	4	0.0068	3	0.0513	21	-0.0013	- 3 "	-0.0034	7	0.0030	6
Ν	0.0109	14	0.0062	8	0.0266	47	0.0002	9	-0.0010	21	0.0030	17
C_1	0.0197	25	0.0097	15	0.0354	73	0.0038	15	0.0075	37	0.0096	28
C_2	0.0271	31	0.0056	10	0.0285	66	0.0041	15	-0.0055	38	-0.0039	23
C_3	0.0127	19	0.0088	12	0.0468	81	0.0001	13	-0.0113	33	-0.0023	26
C_4	0.0138	20	0.0090	16	0.0762	101	-0.0001	13	-0.0150	39	-0.0077	31

TABLE II Atom Positional and Temperature Parameters and Errors; $\sigma' = 10^4 \sigma$

^a Constrained by symmetry requirements.

TABLE III

INTERATOMIC	DISTANCES,	ANGLES,	and Errors	

	-Bonding interatomi	distances and angles-			
Dis	tance, A	Ar	igle, deg		
Ni-S	2.198(3)	S-Ni-N	87.9(3)		
NiN	1.974(10)	S-Ni-N ^a	92.1(3)		
N-C ₁	1.491 (19)	Ni-N-C ₁	116.3 (8)		
N-C ₂	1.485(18)	Ni-N-C ₂	106.7(8)		
N-C ₃	1.519(17)	Ni-N-C ₈	110.3 (8)		
$C_3 - C_4$	1.510(24)	C_1-N-C_2	108.1(1.2)		
C ₄ -S	1.821(15)	$C_1 - N - C_3$	105.2(1.2)		
		C_2-N-C_8	110.1(1.1)		
		NC3C4	109.9(1.2)		
		C ₈ C ₄ S	108.6(1.0)		
		Ni−S−C₄	100.8(5)		
	Nonbonded	distances, A			
$C_1 - S^a$	2.978(15)	$C_3 - S^b$	2.711(17)		
$C_i - S^b$	4.273(16)	$C_2 - S^a$	3.624(17)		
$C_1 - C_2$	2.410(24)	$C_2 - S^b$	3.478(16)		
$C_1 - C_3$	2,392(23)	$C_1 - Ni^b$	2.955(15)		
$C_1 - C_4^b$	3,748(24)	C2-Nib	2.790(14)		
$C_2 - C_3$	2.461(22)	C3-Nib	2.879(14)		
$C_{2}-C_{4}^{b}$	2.956(23)	C4-Nib	3.105(14)		
N−C₄	2.479(20)	$C_1 - C_2^{o}$	3.689(24)		
N-Sb	2.901(11)	$C_2 - C_1^c$	3.706(22)		
$N-S^a$	3.007(10)	All othe	ers >3.9 A		
	-Dihedral angles betw	een various plan	es, deg		
Ni-N	-C _s and Ni-N-S	-	30.8 ± 0.9		
Ni-S-	-C ₄ and Ni-S-N		5.14 ± 0.8		
Ni-N	-S and Ni-C-N		91.2 ± 0.9		
Ni–N	-S and Ni-C ₁ -N		29.4 ± 1.04		

^a To opposite ring in the same molecule. ^b Across fivemembered ring. ^c Between molecules.

idealized 90° at 87.9° and 92.1°, both $\pm 0.3°$. The Ni–N distance is significantly longer at 1.974 ± 0.010 A than the range of 1.82–1.92 A¹⁷ found for rigorously planar Ni(II) chelates and probably is caused by nonbonded repulsions between S and the C₁ methyl group which are separated by 2.978 ± 0.015 A, short compared to the sum of the S and methyl van der Waals

Table IV Rms Atomic Displacements in the Direction of the Principal Axes $(A)^a$

Atom	1	2	3
Ni	0.168(3)	0.177(3)	0.209(3)
S	0.191(4)	0.217(4)	0.298(5)
Ν	0.177(18)	0.212(14)	0.234(16)
C1	0.172(27)	0.247(20)	0.334(21)
C_2	0.169(23)	0.210(22)	0.353(20)
C ₈	0.170(22)	0.252(18)	0.318(21)
C4	0.177(20)	0.253(21)	0.389 (23)

^a Values in parentheses are $\sigma' = 10^4 \sigma$.



Figure 1.—Perspective view of the *trans*-bis(N,N-dimethyl- β -mercaptoethylamine)nickel(II) molecule with the most important intramolecular distances and angles.

radii¹⁸ of 3.85 A. Hence, it is not surprising that the *cis* isomer of this compound is not readily formed since this would require either a substantial molecular distortion from planarity or further elongation of the Ni–N distance due to the van der Waals repulsion between the methyl groups on the nitrogen atom. Further, the Ni–S distance of 2.198 \pm 0.003 A is significantly longer than the 2.168 and 2.146 A, both \pm 0.011 A, observed by Fernando and Wheatley¹⁹ in biacetylbis(mercaptoethylimine)nickel(II) and the

⁽¹⁷⁾ (a) E. Frasson, C. Panattoni, and I. Sacconi, J. Phys. Chem., **63**, 1908 (1959); (b) R. L. Braun and E. C. Lingafelter, Acta Cryst., **21**, 546 (1966), and references to unpublished data therein; (c) L. Godycki and R. E. Rundle, *ibid.*, **6**, 487 (1953).

⁽¹⁸⁾ L. Pauling, "Nature of the Chemical Bond," 3rd ed, Cornell University Press, Ithaca, N. Y., 1960, p 260.

⁽¹⁹⁾ Q. Fernando and P. J. Wheatley, Inorg. Chem., 4, 1726 (1965).

2.174 and 2.156 A, both ± 0.006 A, observed by Eisenberg and Ibers²⁰ in di(tetramethylammonium)bis-(maleonitrile dithiolate)nickel(II). The 92.1° N-Ni-S angle as well as the elongated Ni–N and Ni–S distances are consistent with a nonnegligible amount of steric hindrance between the C_1 methyl group and sulfur. The N-C1, N-C2, and N-C3 distances are not significantly longer than the expected N-C bonded distance of 1.47 A. The C-C and C-S distances are also, well within experimental error, "normal" single bonds. The C_1 -N- C_2 and C_2 -N- C_3 angles are within experimental error of the idealized tetrahedral 109° 28', but C_1 -N- C_3 is significantly less at 105.2 ± 1.2°, again probably due to steric repulsion between C_1 and S. The C₄-S-Ni angle of 100.8 \pm 0.5° indicates that the sulfur contributes a p orbital to the Ni–S σ bond and to the S-C bond as well. The Ni-S interaction probably includes some $p\pi - \rho\pi$ interaction, but this is not discernible from the bond lengths and angles.

The dihedral angles between particular planes and the

perpendicular displacements of the carbon atoms from the $Ni-N_2-S_2$ plane are particularly interesting. The dihedral angle between the Ni-N-S plane and the Ni- C_2 -N plane is 91.2 \pm 0.9° and C_2 is 1.192 A above the plane defined by Ni–N₂–S₂. This means that C_2 is in a stereochemically polar position relative to the NiN_2S_2 plane and effectively blocks any axial chemical attack on the Ni(II) species by another ligand. This is in agreement with the fact that this seems to be a particularly stable complex, relatively inert to attack by other ligands. On the other hand, the dihedral angle between the Ni–N–S plane and the Ni–C₁–N plane is $29.4 \pm 1.04^{\circ}$ and the C₁ atom is 0.652 A below the NiN_2S_2 plane. Therefore, the C_1 methyl group can be considered as being in an essentially equatorial position relative to the NiN_2S_2 plane. C_3 and C_4 are displaced 0.72 (2) and 0.16 (2) A below this same plane conforming to the expected geometry for the β -mercaptoethylamine group in a metal chelate.

Acknowledgment.—We wish to acknowledge financial support from the National Institutes of Health, Grant No. GM-13985-01,02.

> CONTRIBUTION FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY, UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI, COLUMBIA, MISSOURI 65201

The Crystal and Molecular Structure of Dimethyltin Bis(8-hydroxyquinolinate)

BY ELMER O. SCHLEMPER

Received June 19, 1967

The crystal structure of dimethyltin bis(8-hydroxyquinolinate), $(CH_{3})_2Sn(C_9H_8NO)_2$, has been determined from threedimensional X-ray diffraction data. Unit cell constants are $a = 9.44 \pm 0.02$ A, $b = 13.28 \pm 0.02$ A, $c = 15.62 \pm 0.03$ A, and $\beta = 110.0 \pm 0.2^{\circ}$. The space group is P2₁/c. The density by flotation is 1.57 g/cc. Four molecules in the unit cell give a calculated density of 1.586 g/cc. By visual estimation of film data, the intensities of 1738 independent reflections were obtained. The structure was solved by three-dimensional Patterson and Fourier methods and refined by full-matrix least squares to a final conventional *R* factor of 7.8%. The arrangement of atoms about the tin atom can be considered to be a highly distorted octahedron with the following bond distances: Sn-C, 2.15 and 2.17 A; Sn-O, 2.10 and 2.11 A; Sn-N, 2.31 and 2.38 A. The bond angles in the distorted octahedron range from 73.4 to 110.7°. The results are discussed in relationship to previous spectroscopic studies of the complex and a qualitative molecular orbital approach to the bonding.

Introduction

In recent chemical literature there have been numerous reports of compounds in which group IV elements are believed to exhibit coordination numbers greater than six. In the case of group IV transition elements the structures of some of these higher coordinate compounds have been determined by X-ray diffraction methods. For example, tetrakis(acetylacetonato)zirconium(IV) has been found to have a square-antiprism structure.¹ However, for the group IV nontransition elements no crystal structures of higher coordinate complexes have been determined. Since a knowledge of the configuration about the metal atoms in higher coordinate group IV complexes could lead to a better understanding of the nature of the bonding, the struc-

(1) J. V. Silverton and J. L. Hoard, Inorg. Chem., 2, 243 (1963).

tures of a number of these compounds will be studied by X-ray diffraction methods.

One of the group IV elements of particular recent interest has been tin. However, even for the lower coordination numbers five and six, crystal structures of tin complexes have not been studied. Before proceeding to a study of the higher coordination numbers, it was considered necessary to examine the configuration about the tin atom in at least one six-coordinate complex. Dimethyltin bis(8-hydroxyquinolinate), $(CH_3)_2Sn(C_9H_6NO)_2$, has been previously studied by spectroscopic techniques,²⁻⁶ and at least two aspects (2) M. M. McGrady and R. S. Tobias, J. Am. Chem. Soc., **87**, 1909 (1965).

(2) M. M. McGrady and R. S. FORAS, C. A.M. Chem. Soc., 57, 1509 (1905).
(3) A. H. Westlake and D. F. Martin, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 27, 1579 (1965).

⁽²⁰⁾ R. Eisenberg and J. A. Ibers, Inorg. Chem., 4, 605 (1965).

⁽⁴⁾ W. H. Nelson and D. F. Martin, ibid., 27, 89 (1965).

⁽⁵⁾ K. Kawakami and R. Okawara, J. Organometal. Chem. (Amsterdam), 6, 249 (1966).

⁽⁶⁾ W. Kitching, ibid., 6, 586 (1966).